1	PLANNING C	COMMISSION MINUTES
2		October 11, 2000
4	•	Setupe 11, 2000
5 6 7 8	CALL TO ORDER:	Chairman Dan Maks called the meeting to order at 7:02 p.m. in the Beaverton City Hall Council Chambers at 4755 SW Griffith Drive.
9 10 11 12 13 14	ROLL CALL:	Present were Chairman Dan Maks; Planning Commissioners Bob Barnard, Chuck Heckman, Eric Johansen, Brian Lynott and Vlad Voytilla. Planning Commissioner Sharon Dunham was excused.
15 16 17 18 19 20		Senior Planner John Osterberg, Planning Consultant Sally Rose, Assistant City Attorney Ted Naemura, Operations Supervisor Ron Koppel and Recording Secretary Sandra Pearson represented staff.
21 22 23 24 25	meeting.	Chairman Maks, who presented the format for the
26 27 28 29	VISITORS:  Chairman Maks asked if there were Commission on any non-agenda issu	any visitors in the audience wishing to address the e or item. There were none.
30 31	STAFF COMMUNICATIONS:	
32 33 34	On question, staff indicated that ther	e were no staff communications at this time.
35	MISCELLANEOUS BUSINESS:	
36 37 38 39 40 41	Brian Lynott, who is replacing P	relcomed newly appointed Planning Commissioner lanning Commissioner Betty Bode, who resigned, appreciation of Ms. Bode's efforts, adding that other national to serve in this capacity.
42 43	OLD BUSINESS:	
43 44 45 46	Hearings. There were no dis	e Public Hearing and read the format for Public qualifications of the Planning Commission members. lenged the right of any Commissioner to hear any of

the agenda items, to participate in the hearing or requested that the hearing be postponed to a later date. He asked if there were any ex parte contact, conflict of interest or disqualifications in any of the hearings on the agenda. There was no response.

### **CONTINUANCE:**

# A. <u>CITY OF BEAVERTON OPERATIONS CENTER EXPANSION CPA AND</u> REZONE

(Continued from October 4, 2000)

The following land use applications have been submitted for Comprehensive Plan Amendment and Rezone on a parcel located at 6685 SW Scholls Ferry Road. The development proposal is located on Assessor's Map 1S1-23BD, on Tax Lot 200. The site is currently designated "All Other Commercial Districts" and is zoned Neighborhood Service Center (NS) and is approximately .55 acres.

## 1. <u>CPA2000-0007 – COMPREHENSIVE PLAN AMENDMENT (CPA)</u>

Request for a Comprehensive Plan Amendment (CPA) to change the City's Comprehensive Plan designation from All Other Commercial Districts to Industrial Park.

#### 2. RZ2000-0009 – REZONE (RZ)

Request for a Rezone approval to change the City's zoning designation from Neighborhood Service Center (NS) to Industrial Park (IP).

On question, Senior Planner John Osterberg indicated that no film is available of the site.

Commissioners Heckman, Voytilla, Barnard and Johansen and Chairman Maks all indicated that they had visited the site and had not had any contact with anyone regarding this application.

Mr. Osterberg introduced Sally Rose, Planning Consultant contracted to prepare the Staff Report on behalf of the applicant, the City of Beaverton. He described the property, observing that it is proposed that the designation be changed from NS to IP. As indicated in the title of the application, the City of Beaverton does plan a future expansion of the Operations Center, although this has no bearing on these applications.

#### **APPL.ICANT:**

<u>SALLY ROSE</u>, SR Enterprises, Contract Planner for the City of Beaverton, described the 0.55-acre parcel of property owned by the City, located next to the current Operations Center. She provided a brief history of the site and the existing operations center, highlighting the plan policies currently in effect in this area. Noting that Hobson Ferrarini had performed the market analysis, she

mentioned that both Steve Ferrarini and Howard Stein are present to provide a presentation and respond to any questions or comments. She observed that the floodplain and wetlands in the area give the impression that more property is available for expansion than is actually available, adding that wetland, floodplain and topographical constraints must be taken into consideration. She stated that this property is better suited to industrial use than commercial use, emphasizing that this would create approximately 1,650 fewer vehicular trips per day.

7 8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

1

2

3

4

5

6

Ms. Rose addressed two issues that are not relevant to these proceedings, adding that she feels responsible to respond to these issues that have been raised. She referred to the Fanno Creek Multi-Use Path, which is designated on the Comprehensive Plan without a specific location, adding that the purpose of this proposed path is to connect from the creek to the south to the existing bike path along Allen Boulevard. Staff had considered several options for connecting the two existing pieces of this path, adding that they had identified their preference on the west side of the subject property. She emphasized that this location is not ideal for the Operations Center, in terms of managing that entire site. She noted that she and the Operations Center staff has been in contact with staff, Metro and the Tualatin Hills Parks and Recreation District (THPRD), adding that it is clear that during a future site development process, the exact alignment and development of this path will be determined. She addressed the second issue that is not relevant to these proceedings, specifically access onto Scholls Ferry Road, adding that this road is under the jurisdiction of Washington County. commented that the traffic analysis had only provided for access onto Allen Boulevard, adding that access onto Scholls Ferry Road does not meet the county's access separation standards. She expressed her opinion that it might be advantageous for the Operations Center to pursue access onto Scholls Ferry Road at some future time. Concluding, she observed that Steve Farrarini, representing Stein Engineering; Howard Stein, representing Hobson Ferrarini; Ron Koppel of the Operations Center and herself are available to respond to any questions or comments.

31 32 33

34

Commissioner Heckman referred to page 18 of the CPA 2000-0007 Staff Report, specifically the number of trips per hour. Expressing his opinion that 1650 trips per hour is a lot of trips, he challenged this statement and requested clarification.

353637

38 39

40

41

42

**HOWARD STEIN**, Principal Engineer for Stein Engineering, directed attention to pages 10 and 11 of the exhibits and described the method utilized to determine trip generation. He noted that the analysis assumes a worst case scenario of use that could conceivably come to the site, which was determined to be a convenience market and industrial park use. He pointed out that convenience markets do generate a great amount of trips in and out of a site during the peak hours, noting that the numbers indicated include trips both into and out of the site.

43 44 45

46

Commissioner Heckman requested a trip generation comparison of a convenience market and a fast food operation.

45 Com: 46 Repo

Mr. Stein advised Commissioner Heckman that he believes the trip generation of a convenience market and a fast food operation is fairly similar.

Commissioner Heckman commented that he finds it hard to believe that this much traffic would be generated for a convenience store, adding that he has not observed anything approaching those numbers at any of these sites.

Mr. Stein advised Commissioner Heckman that he wouldn't be surprised if the trip generation is actually higher, adding that with so much traffic passing by the site, there is an increased likelihood of someone stopping, particularly if they notice a vacant parking spot. He emphasized that these are average rates, dependent upon each specific location.

Noting that he is in agreement with the anticipated trip generations, Chairman Maks requested a definition of what this proposed industrial park will look like.

Mr. Stein described the proposed industrial park as not so much office-type use, adding that it would include more pre-fabrication and assembly type use.

Chairman Maks questioned whether this would resemble the area off of Highway 217 and Denney Road.

Mr. Stein agreed with Chairman Maks, adding that the area would be similar to the area along Hall Boulevard, not the south side of Nimbus Avenue, which is office, but the north side, which is more of an industrial/assembly/fabrication-type use.

Chairman Maks observed that code under Industrial Park had been changed approximately 18 months ago, in order to allow storage of outside vehicles for public agencies.

Mr. Stein advised Chairman Maks that he would typically go to an agency to determine the reasonable case use, based upon a market analysis.

Commissioner Heckman commented that he accepts Mr. Stein's information, adding that he would like clarification of how they came about. He referred to page 12 of the Staff Report, specifically Goal 13, requesting clarification of Metro's Primary Transit Network, as applied in this specific area.

Ms. Rose informed Commissioner Heckman that she believes that this term has been assigned to a system they want along Allen Boulevard, adding that this phrase had come directly out of the Comprehensive Plan.

Commissioner Heckman referred to the last paragraph on page 17 of the Staff Report, specifically the nearest neighborhood service center, which is located at

1	the intersection of Allen Boulevard and Denney Road, and questioned at which	
2	location Allen Boulevard and Denney Road intersect.	
3		
4	Ms. Rose commented that she would have to check the map for this information.	
5		
6	Commissioner Heckman questioned whether anyone knows where Allen	
7	Boulevard and Denney Road intersect.	
8	Chairman Mala maintaid and that Allan Danlarand and Dannan Danid da nat	
9	Chairman Maks pointed out that Allen Boulevard and Denney Road do not	
10	intersect.	
11	Mr. Dans should the man indication that the commet interesting smooth he	
12	Ms. Rose checked the map, indicating that the correct intersection would be	
13	Lombard Street and Allen Boulevard.	
14	Commissioner Healtman referred to more 5 of the Stoff Depart requesting	
15	Commissioner Heckman referred to page 5 of the Staff Report, requesting	
16	clarification of the comment that Scholls Ferry Road is slated for street widening	
17	to 2/3 lanes.	
18	Ma Daga indicated that this information is an a short in the Community Dlan	
19	Ms. Rose indicated that this information is on a chart in the Comprehensive Plan.	
20	Commissioner Heckman observed that he would like to know what 2/3 means.	
21	Commissioner neckman observed that he would like to know what 2/3 means.	
22	Chairman Maks advised Commissioner Heckman that 2/3 indicates two lanes	
23	with a center turn lane.	
24	with a center turn rane.	
25	Commissioner Heckman commented that this is the current situation.	
26	Commissioner Heckman commented that this is the current situation.	
27 28	Ms. Rose informed Commissioner Heckman that she had read the street master	
29	plan and this is what was slated for an improvement.	
30	pian and this is what was stated for an improvement.	
31	Commissioner Voytilla referred to page 6 of the Staff Report for of CPA 2000-	
32	0007, specifically Public Facilities and statements regarding adequate services.	
33	Noting that she had referenced Exhibit J, he mentioned that his Exhibit J consists	
34	of a blank piece of paper and questioned whether any agency comments had been	
35	received.	
36	received.	
37	Referring to Exhibit J as a placeholder in the event that any comments are	
38	received, Mr. Osterberg emphasized that no supplemental agency comments have	
39	been received prior to the hearing.	
40	oren received prior to the hearing.	
41	Commissioner Voytilla observed that staff has made a specific statement that	
42	adequate services are there, questioning how this statement could be made	
43	without the receipt of any comments from the responsible agencies.	

45

application.

1	Mr. Osterberg noted that all public facilities, such as utilities and other	
2	infrastructure, are in place, adding that although other agencies may occasionally	
3	submit comments, none have been received regarding this application.	
4		
5	Commissioner Voytilla mentioned that this has been a problem over the last few	
6	meetings, expressing his concern that staff needs to make certain that they follow	
7	up on comments from other agencies.	
8		
9	Mr. Osterberg advised Commissioner Voytilla that comments are not always	
10	expected from other agencies, adding that because this property is not	
11	residentially zoned, no comments would be expected from the school district.	
12		
13	Commissioner Heckman questioned whether this is covered in the pre-application	
14	conference notes.	
15		
16	Mr. Osterberg clarified that comments have not been received and are not	
17	expected.	
18		
19	Commissioner Voytilla referred to Exhibit K, specifically comments from the	
20	Neighborhood Meeting.	
21		
22	Ms. Rose advised Commissioner Voytilla that Exhibit F includes the comments	
23	from the Neighborhood Meeting.	
24		
25	Commissioner Voytilla referred to Exhibit F, specifically concern about trucks	
26	and noise and a comment indicating that these are not significant problems.	
27		
28	Ms. Rose advised Commissioner Voytilla that these issues had been discussed at	
29	the Neighborhood Meeting, noting that one neighbor had discussed the issue with	
30	Ron Koppel and determined that there was not a significant problem.	
31		
32	Commissioner Voytilla questioned receipt of any telephone inquiries from site	
33	posting for the Public Hearing.	
34		
35	Ms. Rose indicated that no telephone inquires had been generated by the site	
36	posting for the Public Hearing.	
37		
38	Commissioner Voytilla observed that the telephone number for Ms. Rose that had	
39	been posted on the sign is from out of the local area, noting that this may have had	
40	some effect upon response.	
41		
42	Ms. Rose advised him that this same telephone number had been posted at the	
43	Hall/Metz site, adding that she had received telephone calls regarding that	

On question, Commissioner Barnard indicated that he had no comments or 1 questions at this time. 2 3 Observing that this is not relevant to any decision, Commissioner Johansen 4 referred to access rights to Scholls Ferry Road, specifically what creates those 5 rights. 6 7 Ms. Rose observed that the current parcel, if it were not a part of the existing 8 Operations Center, only has access onto Scholls Ferry Road, noting that some 9 accommodation would have to be made, even though this site does not meet the 10 spacing standards. 11 12 Chairman Maks emphasized that a property owner has the right to access his 13 14 property. 15 Ms. Rose observed that this is the only option available. 16 17 Chairman Maks commented that when and if an application is submitted, he does 18 not want to see the City seek access onto Scholls Ferry Road, adding that if such 19 20 an application is presented before the Board of Design Review, he intends to testify in opposition. 21 22 Commissioner Heckman questioned whether this could be conditioned, 23 24 Chairman Maks informed Commissioner Heckman that this could be conditioned, 25 but he prefers not to take such an action at this time. 26 27 Mr. Osterberg agreed that this potential Condition of Approval could be included. 28 29 Commissioner Heckman questioned whether such a Condition of Approval would 30 involve the Comprehensive Plan Amendment or the Rezone. 31 32 33 Mr. Osterberg noted that although this Condition of Approval could potentially be included in either application, perhaps the RZ would be better, adding that this is 34 more specific to a particular zone as opposed to being generic to all industrial 35 zones. He recommended that the Planning Commission not take this action 36 unless there are very specific findings indicating that there is something very 37 unique about this particular industrial zone or its location that speaks to the issue 38 39 of access. 40 Chairman Maks referred to the trip generation of an industrial park, noting that it 41

October 11, 2000

42 43

Commissioner Heckman observed that he had based his criteria based on the effort and research invested by Mr. Stein, specifically the 1,650 trips per day.

would not meet Washington County access or visual standards.

45 46

# **PUBLIC TESTIMONY:**

<u>CAROLYN SHARP</u>, representing the Tualatin Hills Parks and Recreation District (THPRD), described their interest in these applications, and submitted a letter from Ronald D. Willoughby, General Manager of THPRD, expressing appreciation of the proposed Fanno Creek Trail that will run through the subject property.

Commissioner Heckman questioned who is presently responsible for the maintenance of this trail.

Observing that this trail does not yet exist, Ms. Sharp stated that THPRD is attempting to acquire this property from Metro. On question, she informed Commissioner Heckman that THPRD would be requesting an easement from present owner to build this path.

On question, staff City Attorney Naemura indicated that he had no final comments at this time.

**RON KOPPEL**, Supervisor for City of Beaverton's Operations Center, noted that this site has been at this location for 21 years and every possible expansion effort has been made. He mentioned that through intergovernmental agreements, the City of Beaverton has materials stored with the State of Oregon, the City of Tualatin and the City of Tigard, adding that all options have been exhausted.

Chairman Maks emphasized that the issue is a Comprehensive Plan Amendment, not a discussion on the Operations Center.

Mr. Koppel informed Chairman Maks that he is aware of this, adding that he is expressing support of the zone change and hopes that it is approved.

Mr. Osterberg referred to an earlier question regarding what is meant by the term Primary Transit Network, noting that this is referenced in the Comprehensive Plan. Allen Boulevard is identified as part of the Primary Transit Network identified on Metro's Regional Transportation Plan (the RTP).

On question, staff indicated that they had no further questions or comments at this time.

The public portion of the Public Hearing was closed.

Chairman Maks expressed his support of the applications, which meet applicable criteria, he emphasized the need for parking, improvements to traffic flow and additional industrial property.

Commissioner Heckman expressed his support of the applications, noting that they meet the six criteria outlined on page 26. Observing that citizens are always looking for more services, he expressed his opinion that this small parcel could be very adequately used to serve the existing Operations Center.

Expressing his support of the applications, Commissioner Voytilla stated that they meet the criteria and that he agrees with the comments of Chairman Maks and Commissioner Heckman.

Commissioner Johansen expressed his support of the applications, which he feels meet the applicable criteria.

Agreeing with the comments of his fellow Commissioners, Commissioner Barnard expressed his support of the applications.

Commissioner Barnard **MOVED** and Commissioner Johansen **SECONDED** a motion to approve CPA 2000-0007 -- City of Beaverton Operations Center Expansion Comprehensive Plan Amendment, based upon the testimony, reports and exhibits presented during the public hearing on the matter and upon the background facts, findings and conclusions found in the Staff Report dated August 31, 2000.

Motion **CARRIED**, unanimously, with the exception of Commissioner Lynott, who abstained from voting on this issue.

Commissioner Barnard **MOVED** and Commissioner Voytilla **SECONDED** a motion to approve RZ 2000-0009 -- City of Beaverton Operations Center Expansion Rezone, based upon the testimony, reports and exhibits presented during the public hearing on the matter and upon the background facts, findings and conclusions found in the Staff Report dated August 31, 2000.

Motion **CARRIED**, unanimously, with the exception of Commissioner Lynott, who abstained from voting on this issue.

#### **APPROVAL OF MINUTES:**

Minutes of the meeting of August 2, 2000, submitted. Commissioner Voytilla referred to line 16 of page 3, requesting that the minutes be amended to reflect that he was serving in the capacity of Vice-Chairman, rather than Co-Chairman. Commissioner Voytilla referred to lines 4, 5 and 6 of page 18, requesting that the minutes be amended to reflect that he had specifically warned her not to address any issues regarding *Habitat for Humanity*. Commissioner Voytilla **MOVED** and Commissioner Barnard **SECONDED** a motion that the minutes be approved, as amended.

Motion **CARRIED**, unanimously, with the exception of Chairman Maks and Commissioner Lynott, who abstained from voting on this issue.

Minutes of the meeting of August 9, 2000, submitted. Commissioner Johansen referred to line 14 of page 6, requesting that the minutes be amended to reflect that he had expressed his opinion, rather than concern. Commissioner Johansen referred to line 17 of page 11, requesting that the minutes be amended, as follows: "...noting that he does not support this application that as it does not meet the applicable criteria." Commissioner Heckman referred to line 44 of page 12, requesting that the minutes be amended to reflect the date July 12, 2000, rather than July 14, 2000. Commissioner Barnard referred to line 3 of page 12, requesting that the minutes be amended, as follows: "...this area is congested and he would like further information." Commissioner Heckman MOVED and Commissioner Johansen SECONDED a motion that the minutes be approved, as amended.

Motion **CARRIED**, unanimously, with the exception of Commissioner Lynott, who abstained from voting on this issue.

Minutes of the meeting of August 16, 2000, submitted. Commissioner Voytilla referred to line 7 of page 6, requesting that the minutes be amended, as follows: "...bridges could be **for** pedestrian or railroad..." Commissioner Johansen **MOVED** and Commissioner Barnard **SECONDED** a motion that the minutes be approved, as amended.

Motion **CARRIED**, unanimously, with the exception of Commissioner Lynott, who abstained from voting on this issue.

Minutes of the meeting of August 23, 2000, submitted. Chairman Maks referred to line 2 of page 14, requesting that the minutes be amended, as follows: "...the level of service at the intersection at 173<sup>rd</sup> Avenue, specifically whether both a convenience store and a fast-food restaurant could be placed on this site." Chairman Maks referred to line 9 of page 14, requesting that the minutes be amended, as follows: "Chairman Maks reminded Mr. Sandblast that this is not the maximum use for the site and the Planning Commission is rezoning the land, not the most likely most likely applicant." Commissioner Voytilla MOVED and Commissioner Barnard SECONDED a motion that the minutes be approved, as amended.

Motion **CARRIED**, unanimously, with the exception of Commissioners Johansen and Lynott, who abstained from voting on this issue.

Minutes of the meeting of August 30, 2000, submitted. Commissioner Heckman referred to line 6 of page 10, requesting that the minutes be amended, as follows: "...because he the Planning Commission..." Commissioner Barnard MOVED

39

1	and Commissioner Voytilla SECONDED a motion that the minutes be approved
2	as amended.
3	
4	Motion CARRIED, unanimously, with the exception of Commissioner Lynott
5	who abstained from voting on this issue.
6	
7	Minutes of the meeting of September 20, 2000, submitted. Commissioner
8	Johansen MOVED and Commissioner Voytilla SECONDED a motion that the
9	minutes be approved, as written.
10	
11	Motion CARRIED, unanimously, with the exception of Commissioner Lynott
12	who abstained from voting on this issue.
13	
14	Commissioner Heckman expressed his opinion that it is amazing that so few
15	corrections had to be made to this amount of minutes.
16	
17	MISCELLANEOUS BUSINESS:
18	
19	Mr. Naemura observed that at 1:00 p.m. Thursday, October 12, 2000, oral
20	arguments would be presented for the appeal of the City Park Expansion, adding
21	that this will take place at the Land Use Board of Appeals (LUBA) and that
22	further information is available from the office of the City Attorney.
23	
24	Chairman Maks questioned the status of the Haggen appeal.
25	
26	Mr. Naemura stated that he believes that the proceedings have been concluded
27	and deliberation and a decision will occur at the next Public Hearing.
28	
29	Mr. Osterberg observed that public testimony and rebuttal have been completed
30	adding that the City Council will meet on October 23, 2000 for final questions to
31	staff and deliberation and a decision by the City Council.
32	
33	Chairman Maks commented that on October 18, 2000, the Comprehensive Plan
34	Land Use Element would be continued.
35	
36	On question, Mr. Osterberg advised that the Merlo Station Area Plan Work
37	Session is scheduled for October 25, 2000.

The meeting adjourned at .8:51p.m.